

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,646	08/28/2001	Melba Delaine Self	006910.2500	4509	
24735	7590 05/12/2003				
BAKER BOTTS LLP			EXAMINER		
THE WARNE	ECTUAL PROPERTY DI ER, SUITE 1300	EPARTMENT	BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL		
	1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3636		
	• •		DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/939,646	SELF, MELBA DELAINE $ \mathcal{E}  \subset $			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony D Barfield	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U S C & 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u>-</u>	— · s action is non-final.				
,		osecution as to the morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-14</u> is/are pending in the app	nlication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)	- F 4.1201 00 0.0.0. 33 120	anarvi izt.			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Page 5	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2,11,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keiswetter. Keiswetter shows the use of a chair cover having first and second cover surfaces (22) having a non-elastic flap (24) in order to form a pocket (20).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goddard. Goddard shows the use of a chair cover (100,200) having first and second covers surfaces having a non-elastic flap (31,230) in order to form a pocket. The first and second cover surfaces along with a side pocket (80,82) may be disposed within the pocket upon folding and rolling up of the chair cover. Goddard shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the use of a corners which are substantially, square corners. Keiswetter shows the use of a chair cover having substantially square corners. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3636

the art at the time of the invention to modify the chair cover of Goddard with square corners as taught by Keiswetter in order to allow for a more uniform fit on a chair.

5. Claims 1-5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duke Jr. et al. Duke Jr. et al shows the use of a chair cover having first and second cover surfaces having a non-elastic flap (17) in order to form a pocket (18). The first and second cover surfaces along with a side pocket (20) may be disposed within the pocket upon folding and rolling up of the chair cover. Duke Jr. et al. shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the use of a corners which are substantially, square corners. Keiswetter shows the use of a chair cover having substantially square corners. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the chair cover of Duke Jr. et al. with square corners, as taught by Keiswetter in order to allow for a more uniform fit on a chair.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-14 have been considered but are 6. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference No. 3,504,941 show features of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

anthony D Barfield

Art Unit 3636

adb

May 5, 2003